

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *ex*
rel., et al.,

10 Plaintiffs/Relators,

11 v.

12 CENTER FOR DIAGNOSTIC
IMAGING, INC., *et al.,*

13 Defendants.
14
15


Case No. C05-0058RSL

ORDER DENYING AS MOOT
MOTIONS TO FILE EXCESS
PAGES

16 This matter comes before the Court on defendant's motion "for an extension of
17 the page limit, if applicable." On August 19, 2011, defendant filed a twenty-two page
18 motion to dismiss and for sanctions. (Dkt. #147). Because that motion seeks dispositive
19 relief, the dismissal of certain allegations and claims, defendant was entitled to file a
20 memorandum of up to twenty-four pages in length pursuant to Local Rule 7. The
21 memorandum it filed did not exceed the page limit, so the motion for an extension of the
22 page limit (Dkt. #148) is DENIED as moot. Similarly, plaintiffs' motion to file an
23 overlength response of up to twenty-four pages is DENIED (Dkt. #149) as moot. In the
24 future, counsel are urged to resolve these matters amicably without the Court's
25

1 involvement.

2
3 DATED this 30th day of August, 2011.

4
5 
6 Robert S. Lasnik
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25